



#### THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

#### OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT BUDGET ANALYST REPORT

Date Issued: July 11, 2008

IBA Report Number: 08-75

City Council Docket Date: July 15, 2008

Item Number: 334

# Submitting to the Voters a Ballot Proposition Amending the City Charter to Designate the Use of Lease Revenues from Mission Bay Park

#### **OVERVIEW**

At the Rules Committee meeting of June 25, 2008, Councilmembers Faulconer and Frye presented a proposal for a ballot measure to amend the City Charter, adding Section 55.2, requiring that annual lease revenue generated in Mission Bay Park in excess of \$20 million be appropriated for public capital improvements in Mission Bay Park (75%) and in current and future Regional Parks (25%).

The Rules Committee voted to refer the item to the full City Council for consideration, with comments by the Rules Committee members noted.

## FISCAL/POLICY DISCUSSION

### Current Municipal Code Requirements

At present, Municipal Code §22.0229, entitled "Preparation of the Annual Budget: Mission Bay Park and Other Regional Park Improvements" is in effect for a period of ten years, terminating with the Fiscal Year 2013 budget. The section states that:

 City Manager (Mayor) is to prepare the annual budget with appropriations for the Mission Bay Improvement Fund and the Regional Park Improvement Fund from Mission Bay Park lease revenues in excess of \$20 million, with 25% of the excess lease revenues allocated to each park improvement fund, up to a maximum of



- \$2.5 million each. The remaining 50% of excess lease revenues is deposited in the General Fund.
- City Council may temporarily suspend compliance if City Manager (Mayor) determines that anticipated revenues in any fiscal year will be insufficient to maintain existing City services
- The Mission Bay Improvement Fund is to be used only for permanent public capital improvements and deferred maintenance of existing facilities in Mission Bay Park pursuant to the Mission Bay Park Master Plan, with priority given to Fiesta Island and South Shores
- The Regional Park Fund is to be used only for permanent public capital improvements, planning, deferred maintenance, and land acquisitions for Regional Parks
- Allocation of the Regional Park Fund for specific projects shall be equitably distributed over the effective term to:
  - ➤ Balboa Park
  - Mission Trails Regional Park
  - > Otay River Valley Park
  - > San Diego River Park
  - Multiple Species Conservation Program open space areas
  - > Coastal beaches along with coastal parks contiguous thereto

In Fiscal Year 2008, Mission Bay Park lease revenues total \$27.8 million. Based on this, allocations to the Mission Bay Improvement Fund and the Regional Park Fund will total \$1.95 million each, with the net revenue to the General Fund totaling \$23.9 million. Original estimates for lease revenues for FY 2008 totaled \$30 million, which would have

resulted in allocations to each fund of \$2.5 million, reaching the capped amount for the first time since the ordinance was adopted. This chart shows the allocations made since FY 2005.

Amount in excess of \$20 million split:								
		25%	25% 25% 50%					
ł	Actual	al Regional		Net to				
FY	Lease Revenue	Mission Bay	Parks	General Fund				
2005	23,177,612	-	-	23,177,612				
2006	27,020,561	-	-	27,020,561				
2007	27,383,052	1,940,200	1,940,200	23,502,652				
2008	27,800,000	1,950,000	1,950,000	23,900,000				

Note: Ordinance suspended in Fiscal Years 2005 and 2006

According to the Mayor's Five Year Financial Outlook, Mission Bay rents and concessions are projected to increase by 3.0% annually through Fiscal Year 2013. This reflects a reduction from the 5.0% annual growth projected in the earlier 2007 Financial Outlook, and the Outlook assumed compliance with the Mission Bay Ordinance in all years. As a result, increases in Mission Bay lease revenues are already anticipated to be received by the General Fund.

During the recent City Council budget deliberations, a project status was provided for both the Mission Bay and Regional Parks Improvement Funds. As of May 6, 2008,

unexpended funds totaled \$3.0 million for the Mission Bay Fund and \$3.4 million for the Regional Parks Improvement Fund, from funds that were allocated in previous fiscal years.

#### Proposed Ballot Measure

As currently drafted, the proposed ballot measure would include Charter language to:

- Define San Diego Regional Parks as those parks that serve regional residents and/or visitor populations as defined in the General Plan, which currently include:
  - > Balboa Park
  - Mission Trails Regional Park
  - > Otay River Valley Park
  - > San Diego River Park
  - > Chollas Creek
  - Multiple Species Conservation Program open space areas
- Require Mission Bay Park lease revenues up to \$20 million to be deposited to the City's General Fund, with funds in excess of \$20 million to be deposited with 75% to the Mission Bay Park Improvement Fund and 25% to the San Diego Regional Parks Improvement Fund
- Define and prioritize capital improvement projects to be undertaken in Mission Bay Park
- Establish Mission Bay Park Committee and Park and Recreation Board as entities to recommend projects for funding to the City Council
- · Require City Auditor to prepare an annual audit
- Require City to increase current annual expenditure levels for park operations and maintenance by an amount at least equivalent to the annual percentage growth of the General Fund
- Establish a start date of July 1, 2009 and an effective period of thirty years, with requirement for ballot measure to extend for an additional thirty years

Comparing to the current municipal code, the Charter amendment does not permit a suspension of the requirements in the event of a fiscal emergency, eliminates the funding cap of \$2.5 million annually for allocation to each park improvement fund, includes Chollas Creek and excludes coastal parks from the definition of regional parks, and allows the addition of future regional parks. The Charter amendment also does not include a requirement to equitably distribute funds among the regional parks, as does the municipal code, nor does it describe how funding for the regional parks would be allocated among the various parks.

#### Issues for Consideration

During the Rules Committee discussion, concerns were raised about the proposal's negative impact to the City's General Fund, the term of effectiveness of the dedication of these revenues, the division of lease revenues to the various uses/funds, and the inability of the City to suspend the requirements to deal with a fiscal or other emergency.

#### Earmarking of Discretionary Revenues

This proposal earmarks discretionary General Fund revenues. As a policy, earmarking of City revenues is not desirable or recommended. It reduces budgetary flexibility by committing current revenues for specific and enhanced purposes, and in this case, will cause an immediate and increasingly negative impact to the City's General Fund, which is already facing serious budgetary challenges.

Assumes annual growth of 3%; FY 2009 based on adopted budget								
FY	Estimated Lease Revenue	Regional Parks	Proposal Annual Loss to General Fund					
		General Fund	Mission Bay 25%	25%				
2009	29,867,208	24,933,604	2,466,802	2,466,802	-			
<b> </b>			75%	25%	50%			
. 2010	30,763,224	20,000,000	8,072,418	2,690,806	5,381,612			
2011	31,686,121	20,000,000	8,764,591	2,921,530	5,843,060			
2012	32,636,705	20,000,000	9,477,528	3,159,176	6,318,352			
2013	33,615,806	20,000,000	10,211,854	3,403,951	6,807,903			

#### Creating New Financial Commitments

The Charter amendment requires the City to commit an increased level of resources each year for the annual operations and maintenance of parks. This requirement is an effort to ensure that new funds dedicated for park improvements supplement current funding levels, and would not be permitted to supplant current budget allocations for park operations and maintenance. According to the proposed language, this funding level will be required to increase by the same percentage of growth in the City's General Fund. However, the ballot language does not clearly delineate the sources of funding to be included in these calculations, and whether it is limited to the General Fund, or would include other sources of City funding, which may include grants from other agencies, the City's Environmental Growth Fund or various other sources. As this amount is not stated, the ongoing commitment of funding to ensure the increased requirement will be met is not estimated, but needs to be in order to fully identify the impact of the measure.

#### Staffing and Workload Implications

The dedication of significant funding for new park improvements will create additional workload and will likely require the addition of staffing to various City departments, including Park Planning within City Planning and Community Investment, and Engineering and Capital Projects. These costs should be estimated to allow the City Council and the public to have a clear understanding of the fiscal considerations and effect on future budgets, and if the funding of staffing costs will be permitted from these dedicated funding sources. Given the current levels of funding on hand for park improvements, additional staffing may already be needed in order to complete existing projects in a timely manner.

#### Ability to Use Long-Term Financing

The effective term of thirty years together with a dedicated, irrevocable revenue stream is designed to permit the use of long-term financing options to fund significant park improvement projects. In the past, the City increased the Transient Occupancy Tax to fund improvements for Balboa Park and Mission Bay Park, and long-term debt was issued, with these funds utilized for annual debt repayment. The FY 2009 budget includes \$6.6 million for debt repayment for Balboa Park and Mission Bay Park Improvements. One series of these bonds will be fully repaid in Fiscal Year 2011. The City could consider the issuance of additional long-term debt, at that time, utilizing a portion of this source of existing funding totaling \$3.5 million, without negatively impacting the General Fund. As was discussed at the Rules Committee meeting, the Charter amendment is not necessarily required in order for the City to issue long-term debt for park improvements.

#### **Alternatives**

- To mitigate the impact to the General Fund, the \$20 million allocation to the General Fund could be increased to the current level of \$25 million, eliminating the \$5 million reduction that would otherwise occur in FY 2010.
- 2) The \$20 million General Fund allocation could be phased in over a period of time, possibly starting at the current level of \$25 million, with reductions of \$2.5 million each year for two years, allowing other General Fund resources to grow during that period in order to offset the revenue loss.
- The \$20 million allocation to the General Fund could be allowed to increase each year by some factor, such as the annual increase to the Consumer Price Index (CPI), or by the annual percentage growth in the General Fund.
- Consideration could be given to the issuance of long-term debt for park improvements utilizing General Fund or other discretionary resources, without a Charter amendment. However, a discussion of priorities should occur that could assist to determine the relative importance and urgency for the initiation of capital improvement projects for public safety, libraries or park improvements.

Elaine DuVal

Fiscal & Policy Analyst

APPROVED: Andrea Tevlin Independent Budget Analyst

ORDINANCE NO. O- (NEW SERIES)
-------------------------------

DATE OF	FINAL PASSAGE	

AN ORDINANCE SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED VOTERS OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO AT THE MUNICIPAL SPECIAL ELECTION CONSOLIDATED WITH THE STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION TO BE HELD ON NOVEMBER 4 2008. ONE PROPOSITION AMENDING THE CITY CHARTER BY AMENDING ARTICLE V, BY ADDING CHARTER SECTION 55.2, DESIGNATING THE USE OF LEASE REVENUE FROM MISSION BAY PARK.

08:JUL 11 PM 2: 09

SITY CLERKS DEFICE
SAN DIEGO, CA

WHEREAS, San Diego's regional parks contain environmental and recreational resources unique to our city and help provide our city's identity, enrich the quality of life for all San Diegans, provide wildlife habitat, and serve as visitor attractions that strengthen the local economy; and

WHEREAS, the City receives millions of dollars of revenues each year from leases sited within regional parks, primarily in Mission Bay Park, but little of the monies generated from those leases such as lease revenues; sales taxes, possessory interest taxes have been specifically allocated to fund capital improvements to protect water quality, scenic and recreational opportunities. For to maintain wildlife habitat or navigable waterways in Mission Bay Park or other regional parks; and

WHEREAS, the environmental, scenic and recreational quality of these parks is threatened if a significant portion of the revenues generated by the parks is not retained in the parks for purposes of making capital improvements and maintaining the beneficial uses of the parks; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 223 of the San Diego City Charter, article XIV, section 3(b), article 11 of the California Constitution, and section 9255(a)(2) of the California Elections

Code, the City Council has authority to place charter amendments on the ballot to be considered at a Municipal Special Election; and

WHEREAS, by Ordinance No. O-\_\_\_\_\_\_, adopted on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, the City Council of the City of San Diego is calling a Municipal Special Election to be consolidated with the Statewide General Election on November 4 2008, for the purpose of submitting to the qualified voters of the City one or more ballot propositions; and

WHEREAS, the City Council desires to submit to the voters at the Municipal Special Election one proposition amending the Charter of the City of San Diego by adding Charter Section 55.2; and

WHEREAS, the City Council's proposal, on its own motion, of a charter amendment is governed by article XI, section 3(h) of the California Constitution California Elections Code section 9255(a)(2), and California Government Code section 34458, and is not subject to veto by the Mayor; NOW THEREFORE.

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Council of the City of San Diego, as follows:

Section 1. One proposition amending the Charter of the City of San Diego by amending article V, by adding Charter Section 55.2 is hereby submitted to the qualified voters of the City at the Municipal Special Election to be held on November 4, 2008, the proposition to read as follows:

#### **PROPOSITION**

## SECTION 55.2: MISSION BAY PARK AND REGIONAL PARKS IMPROVEMENT FUNDS

(a) For the purpose of this Section, the following definitions shall apply and the words shall appear in italics:

- Capital Improvement means physical assets, constructed or purchased, or the
  restoration of some aspect of a physical or natural asset that will increase its
  useful life by one year or more or which constitutes an environmental
  improvement of a natural asset. It does not include money used for contracted
  labor or services or for city employee salaries, pensions or benefits unless
  those expenses can be capitalized.
- 2. Mission Bay Park means the area described in the Mission Bay Park Record of Survey 16891, filed on February 28, 2001, in the Office of the County Recorder as File No. 2001-0113422.
- 3. Mission Bay Park Improvement Zone means those areas encompassed within the boundaries of Mission Bay Park, Oceanfront Walk from the Mission Bay jetty to its northern terminus in Pacific Beach and the adjoining seawall, coastal parks and ocean beaches contiguous thereto, Rose Creek from its terminus in Mission Bay to the eastern edge of the Interstate 5 overpass, Tecolote Creek from its terminus in Mission Bay to the eastern edge of the Interstate 5 overpass and the San Diego River as it passes through the boundaries of Mission Bay Park as described herein. The boundaries of Rose Creek, Tecolote Creek and San Diego River shall be the width of those waterways to the nearest private property line.
- 4. Mission Bay Park Improvement Fund means a separate interest bearing monetary fund maintained by the City of San Diego to receive and spend the Mission Bay Park Lease Revenues identified herein for the benefit of the Mission Bay Park Improvement Zone.
- 5. Mission Bay Park Lease Revenues means all revenues collected by the City of San Diego from commercial and non-profit sources within Mission Bay Park, including but not limited to leases of city owned property within Mission Bay Park, as well as revenue collected from mooring fees, contracts for refreshments or any other revenues collected for the use of city owned property within Mission Bay Park. The term does not include revenue from the Mission Bay Golf Course, Transient Occupancy Taxes, sales taxes, possessory interest taxes, property taxes, or permit fees to the extent those fees are levied to recover actual costs incurred by the City of San Diego.
- 6. Mission Bay Park Master Plan means the Master Plan adopted for Mission Bay Park in 1994 and any amendments or updates subsequently adopted to it.
- 7. San Diego Regional Parks means those parks that serve regional residents and/or visitor populations as defined in the City of San Diego General Plan adopted in 2008 and any amendments or updates subsequently adopted and which currently includes Balboa Park, Mission Trails Regional Park, Otay River Valley Park, and San Diego River Park, and for the purposes of this Section shall include Chollas Creek and the Multiple Species Conservation

Program open space areas. This definition shall specifically exclude Mission Bay Park.

- 8. San Diego Regional Parks Improvement Fund means a separate interest bearing monetary fund maintained by the City of San Diego to receive and spend the Mission Bay Park Lease Revenues identified herein for the benefit of the San Diego Regional Parks.
- (b) Mission Bay Park Lease Revenues up to \$20 million in each fiscal year shall be deposited into the San Diego General Fund and may be used for any municipal purpose, including but not limited to, police, fire, streets, sewers, water delivery, roads, bridges, and operation of parks. All Mission Bay Park Lease Revenues in excess of \$20 million shall be allocated in the City of San Diego budget to two distinct funds. Seventy-five percent (75%) of the Mission Bay Park Lease Revenues over \$20 million shall be allocated to the Mission Bay Park Improvement Fund that solely benefits the Mission Bay Park Lease Revenues in excess of \$20 million shall be allocated to the San Diego Regional Parks Improvement Fund that solely benefits the San Diego Regional Parks.
- (c) The Mission Bay Park Improvement Fund shall be used only for non-commercial public Capital Improvements in the Mission Bay Park Improvement Zone that are solely and specifically for park use as recommended by the Mission Bay Park Committee and approved by the City Council None of the Mission Bay Park Improvement Fund may be expended on daily weekly, monthly or annual upkeep of the Mission Bay Park Improvement Zone or on costs that cannot be capitalized. Under no circumstances may any funds designated hereunder be utilized for any costs associated with utilities, including but not be limited to, water, sewage, roads, vehicle bridges or wehicular ramps.
  - Priority for Capital Improvements hereunder shall be given to restoring wildlife habitat wetlands and other environmental assets within the Mission Bay Park Improvement Zone and preserving the beneficial uses of the Mission Bay Park Improvement Zone including, but not limited to, boating swimming, fishing, and picnicking, by maintaining navigable waterways, restoring embankments and other erosion control features, protecting water quality, and improving water flow.

The following *Capital Improvements* shall be funded in the order provided:

1. Restoration of navigable water within *Mission Bay Park* [additional language needed], elimination of navigational hazards as necessary, and protection and expansion of eelgrass beds through dredging and contouring so as to promote the growth of eelgrass.

- 2. Wetland expansion and water quality improvements as identified in the *Mission Bay Park Master Plan*.
- 3. Restoration of shoreline treatments within the *Mission Bay Park Improvement Zone* including restoration of beach sand and stabilization of erosion control features.
- 4. Expansion of endangered or threatened species preserves and upland habitats on North Fiesta Island and along the levee of the San Diego River floodway.
- 5. Restoration or replacement, as necessary, of the seawall bulkhead on Oceanfront Walk to a condition no less than the quality of restoration previously performed in 1998 from Thomas Street to Pacific Beach Drive or to conditions as may be required by historic standards.
- 6. Completion of bicycle and pedestrian paths and bridges, and installation of sustainable lighting in the Mission Bay Park Improvement Zone as contemplated in the Mission Bay Park Master Plan
- 7. Repair, resurface and restripe parking lots within the Mission Bay Park Improvement Zone as needed.

After these identified priorities are funded and completed, funds shall be used only for Capital Improvements as identified in the Mission Bay Park Master Plan, recommended by the Mission Bay Park Committee, and approved by the City Council. To the extent items (c)(i) 1 - 7 that are completed therein are later in need of additional Capital Improvements, then those items shall again have priority as set forth herein over other Capital Improvements.

- (d) The San Diego Regional Parks Improvement Fund shall be used only for non-commercial public Capital Improvements, planning, and land acquisitions for the San Diego Regional Parks that are solely and specifically for park use as recommended by the San Diego Park and Recreation Board and approved by the City Council. None of the San Diego Regional Parks Improvement Fund may be expended on daily, weekly, monthly or annual upkeep of the San Diego Regional Parks or on costs that cannot be capitalized. Under no circumstances may any funds designated hereunder be utilized for any costs associated with utilities, including but not be limited to, water, sewage, roads, vehicle bridges or vehicular ramps.
  - i. Priority for *Capital Improvements* hereunder shall be recommended by the San Diego Park and Recreation Board, in accordance with the master plans for each of the *San Diego Regional Parks*, and approved by the City Council.
- (e) The Mission Bay Park Committee and the San Diego Park and Recreation Board shall meet at least quarterly to audit and review the implementation of this Charter

Section, to advise city staff with respect to the apportionment of funds amongst priorities as set forth in accordance with this Section and to verify that the appropriate funds are collected, segregated, retained and allocated according to this Section, and spent as prioritized in this Section and consistent herewith.

The San Diego City Auditor, in cooperation with each committee, shall establish and oversee a mechanism to ensure public accountability by effectively reporting and communicating the extent and nature of revenues, expenses and improvements generated hereunder. This shall include, at a minimum, an annual audit report to the Mayor, City Council and public. Each report shall, at a minimum, contain a complete accounting of all revenues received, the amount and nature of all expenditures, a report as to whether in each committee's view the expenditures have been consistent with the priorities and provisions hereof, and whether or not the City of San Diego has reduced any budgets that in the view of either committee were reduced as the result of the anticipated revenues and expenditures related thereto generated by this Section.

In the event that either committee finds that there has been a violation of this Charter Section by the City of San Diego, it should set forth the violation in a written communication to the Mayor, Director of the Park and Recreation Department and members of the San Diego City Council. If the violation is not resolved to the satisfaction of the aggrieved committee within 30 days, the San Diego City Council shall docket an action item for a public meeting of the San Diego City Council within 60 days. If evidence presented to the San Diego City Council by the aggrieved committee establishes a violation of this Section, the San Diego City Council shall forthwith cure the violation including but not limited to the restoration of inappropriately expended funds. This provision is not intended to limit the ability of any individual to pursue legal action to enforce the terms of this Charter Section

The City of San Diego shall take all steps necessary to ensure the collection and retention of all Mission Bay Park Lease Revenues for purposes described herein and to utilize those revenues only for the purposes described herein and consistent with the priorities and intentions described herein. The City of San Diego shall consider issuing bonds, notes or other obligations to expedite the Capital Improvements contemplated herein, utilizing the revenue stream from Mission Bay Park Lease Revenues designated herein. The Mission Bay Park Improvement Fund Oversight Committee shall initiate the recommendation or consider the recommendation of others as to whether to issue such bonds, notes or other obligations as well as the timing and the amount of the bonds, notes or other obligations. Said recommendations will be directed to the San Diego City Council which shall consider and act, as determined to be appropriate, on those recommendations.

(g) The annual budgets allocated for park operations and maintenance in the Mission Bay Park Improvement Zone and the San Diego Regional Parks shall not be

reduced because of monies available hereunder and funds from the Mission Bay Park Improvement Fund and the San Diego Regional Parks Improvement Fund shall be in addition to and shall complement current expenditure levels being used. Current expenditure levels are defined as 2008 actual expenses increased annually by an amount at least equivalent to the annual percentage growth of the General Fund.

- (h) This Section shall take effect and be in force on July 1, 2009. After this Section takes effect, it shall remain in effect for a period no less than 30 years at which time the City Council shall place on the ballot of the next regularly scheduled election a measure to amend the Charter to extend the effect of this Section for an additional 30 years.
- (i) In the event of a conflict between any provision of Section \$5.2 and any other provision of this Charter or the Municipal Code, Section 55.2 shall govern

#### END OF PROPOSITION

Section 2. The proposition shall be presented and printed upon the ballot and submitted to the voters in the manner and for set out in Section 3 of this ordinance.

Section 3. On the ballot to be used at this Municipal Special Election, in addition to any other matters required by law, there shall be printed substantially the following:

PROPOSITION AMENDS THE CHARTER OF	YES
THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO DESIGNATING THE USE	
OF LEASE REVENUE FROM MISSION BAY PARK.	NO
Shall the City Charter be amended to require that of the	
annual lease revenue generated in Mission Bay Park that	
exceeds \$20 million, 75% be appropriated for public	
capital improvements in Mission Bay Park and 25% be	
appropriated for public capital improvements in Balboa	
Park, Mission Trails Regional Park, Otay River Valley	
Park, San Diego River Walley Park, Multiple Species	
Conservation Program open space areas, and future San	
Diego regional parks.	

Section 4. An appropriate mark placed in the voting square after the word "Yes" shall be counted in favor of the adoption of this proposition. An appropriate mark placed in the voting square after the word "No" shall be counted against the adoption of the proposition.

Section 5. Passage of this proposition requires the affirmative vote of a majority of these qualified electors voting on the matter at the Municipal Special Election.

Section 6. The City Clerk shall cause a digest of this ordinance to be published once in the official newspaper on the Friday following adoption by the City Council. No other notice of the election on this proposition need be given.

Section 7. Pursuant to San Diego Municipal Code [SDMC] Section 27.0402, this measure will be available for public examination for no fewer then ten calendar days prior to being submitted for printing in the sample ballot, and the Clerk shall post a public notice of the specific dates that the examination period will run.

Section 8. Pursuant to SDMC Section 27:0403(a), the public examination period will end on the 75<sup>th</sup> calendar day prior to the date set for the Municipal Special Election.

Section 9. Pursuant to SDMC Section 27:0404, during the public examination period, any voter registered in the City may seek a writ of mandate or an injunction requiring any or all of the measure to be amended or deleted.

Section 10. A full reading of this ordinance is dispensed with prior to its final passage, a written or printed copy having been available to the City Council and the public a day prior to its final passage.

Section 11. Upon adoption of this Measure, §22.0229 of the San Diego Municipal Code shall be repealed.

Section 12. Pursuant to sections 295(b) and 295(d) of the San Diego City Charter, this ordinance relating to elections shall take effect on the date of its passage by the City Council, which is deemed the date of its final passage.



#### **COMMITTEE ACTION SHEET**

COUNCIL DOCKET OF 15, 2008
☐ Supplemental ☐ Adoption ☐ Consent ☐ Unanimous Consent Rules Committee Consultant Review
R-
O -
Mission Bay Park and Regional Parks Improvement Funds Charter Amendment
⊠ Reviewed ☐ Initiated By Rules On 6/25/08 Item No. 3b
RECOMMENDATION TO:
Refer this item to the full City Council for consideration, with comments by the Rules Committee members noted.
VOTED YEA: Madaffer, Peters, Frye, Hueso, Young
VOTED NAY:
NOT PRESENT:
CITY CLERK: Please reference the following reports on the City Council Docket:
REPORT TO THE CITY COUNCIL NO.
INDEPENDENT BUDGET ÄNALYST NO.
COUNCIL COMMITTEE CONSULTANT ANALYSIS NO.
OTHER:
Charter Amendment Proposed by Councilmembers Kevin Faulconer and Donna Frye

COUNCIL COMMITTEE CONSULTANT \_

Elyse Lowe

# MISSION BAY PARK AND REGIONAL PARKS IMPROVEMENT FUNDS CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSED BY COUNCILMEMBERS 08 104 20 144 9139 KEVIN FAULCONER AND DONNA FRYE SAN DESCRIPTION

Background:

FY 2009 Mission Bay Park (MBP) commercial lease revenues for the City will be nearly \$30 million. Under an existing City ordinance, only \$5 million of MBP revenues are dedicated to Regional Park capital projects: \$2.5 million for Mission Bay Park, and \$2.5 for other Regional Parks. What is often forgotten is that commercial leases were allowed inside MBP to help pay for the creation of the park. Once the initial bonds from 1945, 1950 and 1956 were paid off, commercial lease revenues were diverted to the City's General Fund. As a result, major capital projects have been neglected for many years.

The failure to adequately fund the Mission Bay Park public improvements has made the park less desirable for families, threatens wildlife, and has created safety hazards for boaters and sailors. Mission Bay Park requires a stable dedicated funding source to ensure San Diegans and visitors benefit from the full enjoyment of one of our most important regional recreational assets; to protect the Mission Bay ecosystem; and to increase City revenues as the park becomes a more desirable tourist destination.

Like Mission Bay Park, other Regional Parks – Balboa Park, Mission Trails Park, San Diego River Park, Otay River Valley Park, and wildlife conservation areas throughout San Diego – require a reliable funding source.

#### The Proposed Charter Amendment:

- The first \$20 million in MBP commercial lease revenues will remain in the General Fund.
- Additional revenues from MBP will be dedicated with 75% for Mission Bay Park and 25% for other Regional Parks and Multiple Species Conservation Program areas.
- Two committees are created to ensure funds dedicated from the MBP revenues are used solely for MBP and Regional Park capital improvements.

#### Effect on Mission Bay Park:

Increases dedicated lease revenues for MBP improvements from \$2.5 million to approximately \$7.5 million in FY 2010. As MBP lease revenues grow, capital improvement funds for MBP will also grow. This dedicated funding source can enable the City to finance more capital projects from annual revenues and obtain revenue bonds to finance major long-term projects. Priority projects in MBP include 80-acre marshland restoration at the Rose Creek outfall; navigation channels to improve both the Mission Bay environment and boating safety; 140 acres of new parkland; expanded picnic areas; and infrastructure for more overnight camping, fee-generating hotel rooms, and recreational attractions, primarily at existing commercial locations. Benefits include protecting all beneficial uses such as improved water quality for family enjoyment of Mission Bay; increased habitat for wildlife and better water circulation keep Mission Bay healthy; greater enjoyment of Mission Bay by boat, canoe or kayak; and enhanced future park revenue to maintain and improve Mission Bay Park.

#### Effect on Regional Parks and Wildlife Habitat Areas:

Lifts the \$2.5 million cap on using MBP revenues for other Regional Parks effective FY 2010. As MBP lease revenues grow, capital improvement funds for other parks grow with it.

CITY subject Submi Missic s. primar	CT:	NEY		ia. PROMIONIGINATING E	EDADTACATA				9 DATE		07/15		
Submi Missic							pepartment): ent Pro Tem Madaffer				6/26/2008		
	on Bay Pa			t proposition am	ending the	City (	Charter to desi	ignate the	e use of lease r	evenu	es from		
Steve N				)			(NAME, PHONE & MAII	· ·		BOX IF RE			
	McNally, x	66622	MS 10A				enski, x66616 N			-			
				8.COMPLE	ETE FOR ACC	COUNT	ING PURPOSE		DITIONAL INFORMATIO	N / ESTIMA	TED COST:		
FUND				1									
DEPT.													
ORGANIZA			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_								
JOB ORDE	-												
C.I.P. NUM										·			
AMOUNT	MOER												
				. 10	ROUTING AN	JD API	PROVALS	Į.	<del></del>				
ROUTE (#)	APPRÓVIN AUTHORIT		APPRÓ	WASIGNATURE .	DATE SIGNED	ROUTE (#)	APPROVING AUTHORITY	A	PPROVAL SIGNATURE		DATE SIGNED		
	ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT		elmico	Saura L	12108	8	DEPUTY CHIEF						
2	0217441102144	<del>- 5</del>	SAIME !	yuses -	16 100	9	coo						
3						10	CITY ATTORNEY		$-\Omega$	_			
	LIAISON OFFIC	`E			-	11	ORIGINATING /	1.1.7		,,	11-100		
	EIAIGON OF FIC	-					DEPARTMENT	Winn i	est Auxels	<del></del>	7 408		
6						1	COUNCIL	SPOB	COUNCIL LIAIS	ON:			
7							PRESIDENT	REFER TO:		_	7/15/08		
II. PREP	ARATION OF:			SOLUTION(S)		NCE(S)		REEMENT		EED(S)	1/		
Nov gene appr 3. I the l	vember 4, 20 erated in Mi ropriated for Directing the ballot argum	008, one ssion Bar public City A nent.	proposition a ay Park that es capital impro- ttorney to pre	of the City of San Die mending the City Cha sceeds \$20 million, 75 vements in current and pare an impartial analy	rter by amendin % be appropria future Regiona	ig Artic ited for al Parks	le V, section 55, be public capital imp. 2. Directing the	y adding sec rovements i City Attorno	ction 55.2, requirin n Mission Bay Parl cy to prepare a ball	g annual k and 259 ot title ar	lease revenu % be nd summary.		
11A. STAF	F RECOMMENI	DATIONS:		,		•	•				<del>.</del>		
12. SPECI	AL CONDITION	S:											
COUNCIL DISTRICT(S): N/A			N/A										
			N/A	•									
				This activity is not a "project" and therefore exempt from CEQA pursuant to State CEQA guidelines Sect. 15060 (C)(3).									
<u>H0</u> '	USING IM	1PACT	<u>:</u>	N/A									
<u>OTI</u>	HER ISSL	<u>JES</u> :											

From:

CLK City Clerk

Sent:

Monday, July 14, 2008 10:25 AM

To:

Atkins, Councilmember; Faucett, Aimee; Faulconer, Council Member Kevin; Frye, Donna; Hueso, Councilmember Ben; Lujan, Magdalena; Madaffer, Councilmember Jim; Maienschein, Councilmember; Peters, Councilmember Scott; Pickens, Sonia; Soria, Patricia; Vetter, Gary;

Yepiz, Lauren; ZZZYoung, Anthony

Subject:

FW: City Council Meeting Agenda Comment Form

----Original Message----

From: nsuserid@ada.sannet.gov [mailto:nsuserid@ada.sannet.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 14, 2008 10:09 AM

To: CLK City Clerk

Subject: City Council Meeting Agenda Comment Form

San Diego City Council Meeting Agenda Comment Form Submitted on Monday, July 14, 2008 at

10:08:47

Name: Carolyn Chase

Email: cdchase@sdearthtimes.net

Address:

City: San Diego

State: CA

Zip: 92109

Source: San Diego City Council Meeting Agenda Comment Form at http://www.sandiego.gov/city-clerk/officialdocs/docketcomment.shtml

Agenda Item: ITEM-334 July 15, 2008 Mission Bay Park Charter Change

Comments:

City Council Hearing of July 15, 2008

RE: ITEM-334: Ballot Proposition Amending the City Charter to Designate the Use of Lease Revenues from Mission Bay Park.

1. What are the estimated dollar amounts for each of the priority items 1-7?

With only an estimated \$2 million/year, realistically what's going to get done? You've got dredging, boardwalk replacement, environmental restoration projects, parking maintenance, as we know the list is long and the funds are limited. Therefore it's important for the public and the Council to understand the order of magnitude of each of the items being put on the priority list.

Has there been any consideration of allocating a minimum amount for Master Plan improvements? Otherwise, depending on the income, nothing might ever be spent on that for quite awhile. Without estimates for items 1-7 the public cannot determine whether or not anything not on the priority list will ever get done.

2. Cost of Parking / Sustainable parking design?

OB JUL 14 AH 10: 4

What are the costs associated with repairing, resurfacing and restriping parking (Item 7 on the priority list)?

Why is maintenance for parking lots being included but not "costs associated with utilities, including but not limited to water, sewage, roads, vehicle bridges or vehicular ramps."? Why shouldn't parking also be on this prohibited list as ongoing maintenance is?

Most people really only care that they have a parking space, not how often the lot is paved.

Also this item would seem to make a long term commitment to keeping in place the lots that contribute quite a bit to storm water runoff pollution rather than planning to replace the lots overtime with new, less polluting alternatives. It might in fact be cheaper to let the existing surfaces degrade and then replace them rather than putting significant sums into maintaining the out-dated status quo designs for parking lots from the 50s.

- 3. What is the legal definition of a "beneficial use"? (Item (c)i Page 4)
- 4. Relating to the definition of Capital Improvements and "costs that cannot be capitalized."

First, the clarifying sentence that Capital Improvements do "not include money used for contracted labor or services or for city employee salaries, pensions or benefits unless those expenses can be capitalized."

When can those expenses be capitalized?

And perhaps a related question, under Item c, the Priority for expenditures from the Mission Bay Park Improvement Fund first lists under Item i. "Priority for Capital Improvements hereunder shall be given to restoring wildlife habitat, wetlands and other environmental assets within the ...Zone and preserving beneficial uses of the ...Zone including, but not limited to...etc.

These kinds of projects are not traditionally necessarily looked as "Capital Improvements." i.e. they are not usually building things, but often removing things, or monitoring things. The language in the definition does state that CI includes restoration or improvement of natural assets but also states funds cannot be used for "contracted labor or services." Then how could these projects be done?

The City itself contracts out for these kinds of projects. I want to ensure that the funds can be spent on contracts that do include contracted labor or services to accomplish projects. Isn't this the intent?

5. Include "orphan" areas in the Mission Bay Park Improvement Zone Add to the definition of the Mission Bay Park Improvement Zone to include Rose Creek extending up to the end of the Santa Fe Road flood control channel and for Tecolote Creek up to the end of the Tecolote Creek flood control channel.

Both these orphan areas need improvements for the downstream (Mission Bay Park just downstream) water quality improvements to really matter.

- 6. What happens to the revenues from the Golf Course? How much is involved?
- 7. Include adopted land use plans and ordinances in Mission Bay Park Master Plan definition You should include other related adopted land use plans and ordinances for instance the Rose Creek Watershed Plan covers the area up to the I-5 already covered in this draft.

Add to definition of Mission Bay Park Master Plan to: the Master Plan adopted for Mission Bay Park in 1994 and any amendments or updates subsequently adopted to it, any other related adopted land use plans and ordinances applicable to Mission Bay Park and the Mission Bay Park Improvement Zone.

9. The Mission Bay Park Improvement Fund Oversight Committee The definition for this is missing.

The way it's written now, "shall initiate the recommendation or consider the

recommendations of other as to whether to issue such bonds....etc."

What is the idea here? Just to hold a public hearing? Do we really need another committee? Elsewhere it says the MBP Committee will review items quarterly.

10. Regarding issuance of new bonds.

Regarding the Independent Budget Analyst report 08-75 noting that "the Charter amendment is not necessarily required in order for the City to issue long-term debt for park improvements."

Given the amounts of funding involved, it doesn't make sense to put this in the Charter, nor does it make sense to go for borrowing more money since it only make less funding available in the future.

I would like to request that the IBA issues a report that informs decision makers and the public of the amount of funding that would be saved and therefore the amount of additional funds that would be available if the City did not issue more bonds.

11. Chollas Creek should be more specific and say "Chollas Creek Watershed Parks"

Carolyn Chase cdchase@sdearthtimes.net 858-272-7370

\_\_\_\_

REMOTE ADDR: 198.180.31.12

HTTP\_USER\_AGENT: Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; U; PPC Mac OS X 10\_4\_11; en) AppleWebKit/525.18 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/3.1.2 Safari/525.22